UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,619	12/27/2003	Vladimir S. Moxson		7498
	7590 04/22/201 ATERIALS PRODUC	EXAMINER		
1890 GEORGETOWN ROAD HUDSON, OH 44236			ZHU, WEIPING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/748,619	MOXSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	WEIPING ZHU	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (b). On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of the characteristic for reply original contends the corresponding amount of the corre	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate anally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [·	•	_
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2,3,17,19,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-14.			,
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons as stated in the final rejection.		condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/Weiping Zhu/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793		

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 2, 3, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are currently under examination, wherein no claim has been amended in applicant's amendment filed on April 5, 2010. Claim 20 has been cancelled in the same amendment. Therefore, the previous rejection of claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. (US 5,059,490) in view of Gottselig et al. (US 4,961,529) as stated in the Office action dated February 16, 2010 has been withdrawn. The previous rejections of claims 2, 3, 17, 19, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) and further in view of Kugler (US 4,410,412) as stated in the Office action dated February 16, 2010 have been maintained.

In response to applicant's arguments filed on April 5, 2010 that 1) Gottselig et al. ('529) does not relate to titanium matrix composite at all and the claimed Ti3SiC2 would not be formed during sintering in the presence of SiC and Ti; 2) Kugler ('412) does not relate to titanium matrix composite at all and an electrode comprising both titanium carbides and vanadium (or zirconium) aluminides will be destroyed by erosion soon; and 3) the prior art references do not disclose the instantly claimed titanium matrix composite having improved mechanical properties, the examiner notes that 1) the ground of rejection of the claimed titanium matrix composite relies on the teaching of Brupbacher et al. ('490) rather than that of Gottselig et al. ('529) and Gottselig et al. ('529) does teach the formation of Ti3SiC2 during sintering in the presence of SiC and Ti (abstract); 2) the ground of rejection of the claimed titanium matrix composite relies on the teaching of Brupbacher et al. ('490) rather than that of Kugler ('412) and Kugler ('412) does teach that the carbides of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) (e.g. TiC) and Al8V5 are functionally equivalent (col. 1, lines 34-40 and Example 2), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the carbides of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) (e.g. TiC) with the claimed Al8V5 in the titanium matrix composite material of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) (e.g. TiC) with the claimed Al8V5 in the titanium matrix composite material of Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Kugler ('412) is not directed to an electrode comprising both titanium carbides and vanadium (or zirconium) aluminides at all; and 3) No mechanical properties are recited in the instant claims, and therefore Brupbacher et al. ('490) in view of Gottselig et al. ('529) and further in view of Kugler ('412) is not required to disclose such properties of the titanium matr

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.